Special Education Doctoral Program Handbook

Updated: September 2023



Special Education Program
Department of Counseling, Higher Education, and Special Education (CHSE)
College of Education

3214 Benjamin Building University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742-1161 http://www.education.umd.edu/CHSE/ 301-405-2858 Telephone 301-405-9995 FAX

Table of Contents

Section I: Introduction

The Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) degree in Special Education is designed to prepare students to conduct and disseminate research and prepare future teachers in special education. Students who complete the PhD program are prepared to assume faculty positions at research universities or leadership positions in state and local education agencies, policy organizations, or the federal government. This program was revised in 2015 to attract full-time students but we also work with students who attend part time. The program requires a minimum of 60 post-master's credits incourses that address special education issues and trends, policies, current research, and practice as well as research methods and design. Doctoral students will, with their advisor, identify a sequence of courses and experiences to advance their knowledge in an area of specialization.

......3 Section 2: Faculty, Staff, and Resources Faculty research interests.....4 Administrative support personnel......5 Fellowships, Assistantships and Awards......5 Graduate Student Life and Resources.....5-6 Off-Campus Housing Services (OCH)..... **Section 3: The Special Education PhD Curriculum** Required Coursework...... 6-8 **Section 4: Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessments** Annual Reviews: Year 2 and until Advancement to Candidacy (Early Assessment)...12-13 Steps in the Annual Review Process......12 Advancement to Candidacy: (Mid Assessment)......13 Comprehensive Portfolio Review Items......13

Review & Evaluation Process for Comprehensive Portfolio	15
Section 5: The Dissertation Process	
Overview	15
Special Education Program Dissertation Requirements	16
Research Assurances	16
Dissertation Proposal	16
Eligibility	17
Continuous Registration	17
Dissertation Committee	17
Oral Defense Announcement	19
Procedures for the Oral Dissertation Examination	19
Filing the Dissertation in Digital Form	21
Application for Graduation	21
Submission of Forms and Deadlines.	21-22
Post Graduate Assessments	22
Summary	22
Websites	22-23
Appendix A: Tables for Students and Advisors	
Table 1: Doctoral Course List	24-25
Table 2A: EDSP Sample Course Sequence A	
Table 2B: EDSP Sample Course Sequence B	
Table 3: Advising Highlights by Year	
Table 4: Annual Review for Doctoral Candidate and Advisor	
Table 5: Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessment	
Table 6: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Checklist	
Table 7: EDSP Rubric for Portfolio Review	
Table 8: EDSP Rubric for Dissertation Proposal	
Table 9: EDSP Rubric for Final Defense	
Table 10: Internship Guidelines	
Statement of Mutual Expectations for Graduate Teaching Assistants (

Section 1: Introduction

The Special Education (EDSP) doctoral program resides in the <u>Department of Counseling</u>, <u>Higher Education</u>, and <u>Special Education</u>, one of three departments within the <u>College of Education</u> (COE) at the University of Maryland (UMD). Doctoral studies in the Special Education program emphasize research and scholarship, leadership and professional development, and disciplinary knowledge. The EDSP doctoral program is designed to prepare future researchers, leaders, and teachers for positions in higher education institutions.

The university community, the state of Maryland, and the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area provide an unparalleled setting for doctoral study. The program's proximity to the United States Congress and governmental agencies, including the US Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP), and the American Institutes for Research, among others, provides opportunities for summer internships and other professional and research experiences for graduate students who seek such opportunities as part of their programs. National organizations and services, such as the Council for Exceptional Children and the Library of Congress, are within the metropolitan area. The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) is located in nearby Baltimore and the Maryland Commission for Higher Education in Annapolis.

Public and private schools in the state of Maryland provide education to students from diverse backgrounds in terms of language, ethnicity, and cultures. Maryland is home to some of the largest urban schools in the nation, serving children and youth with disabilities in high need areas. Finally, the UMD provides campus resources and centers for doctoral candidates to expand their knowledge and skills in teaching, service, technology, and research.

This handbook provides essential information about the Special Education Ph.D. program and is intended for doctoral students and graduate faculty in the Special Education program. The contents include an overview of policies and procedures and specific suggestions to help guide students through each stage of the Ph.D. program.

While this handbook covers most policies and procedures pertaining to doctoral students in Special Education, it is not meant to be exhaustive. Therefore, prospective, and current students should also consult the Graduate School website for more detailed information and important forms and policies on graduate studies at UMD: https://academiccatalog.umd.edu/graduate/

For any questions or issues not covered in the handbook, students should consult their advisors. Regulations enforced by the Graduate School at the University of Maryland are updated in this handbook. However, in cases where there may be a discrepancy between the handbook and the wording of a policy enforced by the Graduate School, the Grad School's policies supersede this handbook.

Section 2: EDSP Faculty and Administrative support personnel

Faculty and Research Interests:

Jennfer Amilivia	https://education.umd.edu/directory/jennifer-amilivia
Katryna Andrusik	https://education.umd.edu/directory/dr-katryna-natalya- andrusik
Philip Burke	https://education.umd.edu/directory/philip-burke _
Kelli Cummings	https://education.umd.edu/directory/kelli-cummings
Agnesanne Danehey	https://education.umd.edu/directory/agnesanne-danehey
Susan De La Paz	https://education.umd.edu/directory/susan-de-la-paz
Seyma Intepe Tingir	https://education.umd.edu/directory/seyma-intepe-tingir
Dawn Jacobs Martin	https://education.umd.edu/directory/dawn-jacobs-martin
Veronica Kang	https://education.umd.edu/directory/veronica-kang
Tori Page Voth	https://education.umd.edu/directory/tori-page-voth
Sehrish Shikarpurya	https://education.umd.edu/directory/sehrish-shikarpurya
Ana Taboada Barber	https://education.umd.edu/directory/ana-taboada-barber
Jade Wexler	https://education.umd.edu/directory/jade-wexler
Gulnoza Yakubova	https://education.umd.edu/directory/gulnoza-yakubova

Bolded = Accepting new doctoral students

Administrative Support Personnel

Note: Our administrative support personnel serve the entire CHSE department and are often inundated with requests from faculty and administrators. Please email or visit them in person when possible before calling. Include your student ID number in all email correspondence.

Ms. Denisse Garcia. CHSE. dgarcia7@umd.edu 301 405 2858. 3115 Benjamin Building. Responsibilities include course scheduling, ordering instructor copies of textbooks.

Ms. Stefanie James. CHSE <u>yjames@umd.edu</u> 301-405-6515. 3115 Benjamin Building. Responsibilities include GA, TA, or RA appointments and payroll.

Ms. Blesilda Lim. Director of finance and administration. CHSE <u>blim@umd.edu</u> 301 405-6507. 3115 Benjamin Building. Responsibilities include budgeting for the department, including student fellowships.

Ms. Caroline Scott. Graduate Coordinator, CHSE. <u>cscott18@umd.edu</u> 301-405-8384. 3115 Benjamin Building. Responsibilities include academic planning (course registration) and fellowships.

Ms. Amleset Teklegiorgis. Coordinator. CHSE. <u>teklegia@umd.edu</u> 301-405-2879. Responsibilities include general ordering for the department.

Fellowships, Assistantships, and Awards

The Special Education program may offer support for doctoral students in the form of fellowships or graduate assistantships. In most cases, a student's assigned advisor will provide information about these opportunities. In addition, the UMD Graduate School posts information about campus wide fellowships, assistantships, and awards. For information, click on the links below or http://www.gradschool.umd.edu

Visit the <u>College of Education Scholarship opportunities</u> webpage.
Visit the <u>Graduate School Fellowship and Graduate Assistantship</u> for additional funding opportunities. <u>Visit https://tinyurl.com/GAship</u> for <u>Policies regarding</u>
Graduate Assistantships. For information about other student financial aid, review the <u>Office of the StudentFinancial Aid website</u>.

Graduate Student Life and Resources

The UM Graduate School has information on Graduate Student Life and Resources. Click on the links below or go http://www.gradschool.umd.edu (Select *Prospective Students* on the pull-down menu at the top of page)

Graduate Student Life

Graduate Catalog https://academiccatalog.umd.edu/graduate/

- Campus Maps
- Campus Recreation Center
- o Clarice Smith Performing Arts Center
- Graduate Student Government
- Shuttle UM
- Terrapins Athletics

Additional Campus Resources

- Counseling Center,
- Disability Support Services
- Division of Research
- Employment at UM
- Graduate Catalog
- Graduate Housing
- Graduate Student Legal Aid
- International Student and Scholar Services
- Office of the Bursar
- Office of Diversity and Inclusion
- o Office of the Registrar
- o Office of Student Financial Aid
- o Ombudsperson for Graduate Students
- Residency Classification Office
- Student Health Center
- University Libraries
- Writing Center, https://gradschool.umd.edu/graduate-school-writing-center

Off-Campus Housing Services (OCH)

While there is no on-campus housing available to graduate students, students seeking housing should begin their search with housing services located in the Stamp Student Union. OCH provides print and online services with housing searches and has extensive resources, including information on transportation, safety, landlord/tenant relations, childcare and typical prices for area apartments. Other resources include:

- OCH101, a searchable database of available rental properties
- Roommate Finder, a database where students can search for others with similar interests.
- Short Term Lodging, options available to incoming graduate students.
 Additionally, there are two graduate student organizations, the Students Council of India and the Chinese Student and Scholar Association that arrange some temporary housing via their web sites.
- Long-Term Housing, options include two all-graduate student-housing options in close proximity to campus, Graduate Hills and Graduate Gardens. This apartment community is located on university property but is managed by Southern Management Corporation, a private company.

The Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) degree in Special Education prepares researchers, teacher educators, and leaders who will advance the education of children and adults with disabilities. The program curriculum requires a minimum of 60 post-master's credits. All required doctoral coursework and credits and advancement to candidacy must be completed within 5 years after acceptance into the doctoral program.

Required Coursework

Special Education Coursework: Five courses are required in special education content that address issues and trends, policies, current research and practice, theory and technological advancements associated with the education of individual disabilities. Doctoral candidates build their knowledge and skills associated with research (e.g., theory and design), teaching at the college level, and leadership (e.g., policy, instruction, and research to practice).

Take 4 Core EDSP courses:

EDSP860 Apprenticeship in Special Education: Doctoral Research Seminar
EDSP875 Legal and Policy Foundations for Individuals with Disabilities
Theory and Empirical Design in Educational Research
Intervention Research in Language and Literacy

Research Methodology and Design Coursework: Doctoral candidates take 5 courses to become familiar with a variety of research methods and design.

<u>EDMS645</u> Quantitative Research Methods I (only required for those students who did notcomplete an intro statistics course prior to enrolling in the doctoral program)

EDMS646 General Linear Models I

EDSP870 Single Case Research Designs in Education

EDSP 871 Qualitative Methods in Special Education OR TLPL 791 Qualitative Research I: Design and Fieldwork (requires instructor permission)

Choose 1-2: Intermediate Qualitative or Quantitative Methods Course(s:

- CHSE 675 Meta-Analysis
- EDMS 610 Classroom Assessment and Evaluation
- EDMS 622 Theory and Practice of Standardized Testing
- EDMS 623 Applied Measurement: Issues and Practices
- EDMS 626 Instrumentation
- EDMS 647 Causal Inference and Evaluation Methods

- EDMS 655 Introduction to Multilevel Modeling
- EDMS 657 Exploratory Latent and Composite Variable Methods
- EDMS 722 Structural Modeling
- EDMS 724 Modern Measurement Theory
- TLPL 790 Mixed Methods Research in Education
- TLPL 792 Qualitative Research II: Analysis and Interpretation of Data
- Other [Determine with Advisor as other qualitative courses in CHSE may be available and equally appropriate to the student's needs and interests]

3-5 Electives: Select a minimum of three additional courses approved with advisor. Doctoral students will identify a sequence of courses and experiences to advance their knowledge and experience in an area of specialization. This may include additional supervised teaching experience (EDSP 888 credits), additional research (EDSP 898 credits) or CHSE courses such as CHSE 685 Grant Writing in Education and Social Sciences.

Note:

Supervised College Teaching: Doctoral candidates take courses to become familiar with college teaching.

TLTC 798

University Teaching & Learning (1 credit)

Apprenticeship in Special Education (1 credit) Register for 888A

credits when completing supervised college teaching. During this experience doctoral students will first learn about teaching at UMD through the TLTC course and serve as the teaching assistant for a class, working either with their advisor or a member of the EDSP graduate faculty. While experiences will vary depending on the course, typical responsibilities include weekly meetings with the instructor of record, preparing course materials, holding office hours, teaching 2-3 full sessions, grading assignments. Doctoral students will be observed during their instruction twice per semester with opportunities for formative feedback. Based on the results of the first supervised teaching experience, the funding requirements of your position, and both program and student needs, students will progress to one of three options:

- Option 1: Participate in an additional supervised college teaching experience for 1 elective credit of 888A.
- Option 2: Serve as a Graduate teaching assistant for the same or another course (depending on program and student needs) with scaffolded support from the advisor or the instructor of record.
- Option 3: Move directly into teaching as an instructor of record for the same or another course (depending on program need and availability).
 Depending upon department needs this may be as a sole lead instructor or co-teacher with another instructor of record.
- EDSP898 Pre-Candidacy Research. Students will enroll in 3 898 credits with their advisor when working on research projects or activities specifically relating to the comprehensive portfolio (e.g., research papers, the synthesis paper).

Supervised Research or Policy Internship: Required experiential learning component for all full-time students, optional for part-time students. Ideally, students will complete an internship during the summer after their first or second year. Internships are available with local research and policy organizations. Students should work with theiradvisors and the to identify internship positions early in spring semester for summer positions.

Requirements:

- Internships are required for all full-time students, and we expect everyone to make their best attempt to complete this step in the program. Funding is available to support this effort.
- Internships are not required for part-time students, but we encourage it, and funding is also available to them (see below for details)
- If a student feels there is a legitimate need to waive this requirement, the student will need to write a letter of appeal, have it signed by their advisor, and voted on by the graduate committee. This should happen before the student expects to do their portfolio review.

Funding:

- We encourage students to apply for graduate school summer funding for internships but
 if that is not available, or students are not eligible, they can apply for back up EDSP
 funds. We can grant funds based on availability for an internship that lasts approximately
 6-8 weeks with at least 3 days per week of work (or equivalent of 60 hours minimum)
 during the summer.
- If the internship is not available during the summer a student has the option to do an
 internship during a semester, but it should be the equivalent in terms of time as the
 summer internship experience listed above. In the case where an internship takes place
 during a semester students have the option of taking up to 3 independent study elective
 credits that are supervised by a faculty member but this is not required.

Timing:

Internships can be completed during the students' program at any time, but we
encourage students to schedule them during or after the student's first or second year.
We expect the internship to be completed before the student's portfolio review.
Completing the internship prior to the portfolio review gives experiences to the student that can inform future career goals as well as access to resources (e.g., data collection sites). Students can also further their learning about interventions, for example, that could inform their future work.

Logistics:

Arranging an internship can happen in many ways. The student should work with their
advisor and Dr. Jade Wexler as the main Internship point of contact to arrange the
internship, the hours the student will engage in it, whether they are taking it for credit, or
getting it funded during the summer. Advisors should start planning for the internship at
least a full semester before the experience. Many internship sites require at least one
year of preparation and planning as well as a security background check prior to

beginning internship (e.g., OSEP) and others are contingent on project matching with student needs Bottom line, this can be fluid and will take time. Note that if Dr. Wexler cannot help with contacts for the internship, she will reach out to other faculty members.

Evaluation

- Prior to the internship, the intern and their supervisor will complete a statement of duties for the internship experience that is reviewed and signed by the intern and their supervisor. This document will be shared with all parties, including the advisor.
- During the internship, there will be two progress checks, at approximately two-weeks and four weeks for a six-week internship. The internship supervisor can complete these with the intern and the completed project checks will be sent to the advisor.
- After the internship is complete the student will write a paper, which will be reviewed by their advisor. Students will also prepare a brief presentation about their experiences to share at an EDSP graduate student symposium (or equivalent).

Remaining Coursework: Dissertation Research Requirements

Advancement to Candidacy: After (or when nearing completion) all coursework, the doctoral candidate begins to prepare items for the Comprehensive Portfolio Review (see Section 4 for additional information). The Portfolio is designed to ensure that doctoral students have attained specific knowledge and skills as identified in the Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessment. The Comprehensive Portfolio is completed in lieu of comprehensive exams and requires review by the EDSP Graduate Committee. After this milestone, the student Advances to Candidacy towork on a dissertation proposal.

EDSP899 Dissertation Research Following a successful defense of the Portfolio, students will enroll in a minimum of 12 credits to represent the work on their dissertation research.

Benchmarks: Completion of the dissertation must occur within **4 years after advancing to candidacy**. Once a student is Advanced to Candidacy by the Graduate School, they are automatically registered each fall and spring for 6-credits of EDSP 899 (**Post-Candidacy or Continuous Registration**).

Total Credits (Minimum) = 60

Key Steps in the Doctoral Program [for program planning]

Schedule an initial meeting with advisor. Once admitted to the doctoral program, each student is assigned an Advisor. It is the student's responsibility to set up a meetingwith their advisor before the start of the first semester to review the program curriculum, program benchmarks and timelines. During the first meeting, the advisor and student will review the doctoral programs requirements and other information provided in this Handbook (use Table 3.) Students are responsible for maintaining electronic copies of all forms and updating them with their advisor on at least an annual basis. These forms are to be submitted as part of the Annual Review process and

Comprehensive Portfolio Review and used for general advising.

The following steps should guide the advising process:

Plan Coursework (use Table 1): Develop an initial list of courses and experiences based on the student's interests and goals for the doctoral program. This form will be updated and attached to the Annual Review. There are two examples of sequences that students may use to plan their doctoral coursework over a four-year period (See Table 2A and 2B).

Complete CITI Training - Human Subjects Review. All research that involves

Complete CITI Training - Human Subjects Review. All research that involves the use of human subjects requires review and approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to the initiation of the research. CITI Training is required for all researchers as a condition of IRB approval and should be completed as early as possible https://research.umd.edu/resources/research-compliance/institutional-review-board-irb/irb-training

____Complete Internship. Identify early what organization you are interested in working with to allow time to apply. In the past, students have interned with the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) and American Institutes for Research (AIR).

Prepare and Submit Annual Reviews (use Table 4): It is important for a student and advisor to plan early for how the student will complete the required research, teaching, and leadership experiences in this program. The items or evidence that must be submitted are part of the required **Doctoral Graduate Outcome Assessment.**

_____Develop and Submit Comprehensive Portfolio: It is important to identify, develop and finalize the items that are part of the Comprehensive Portfolio Review (see Table 6). A positive evaluation of the Comprehensive Portfolio allows the student to Advance to Candidacy. The portfolio and review process are described in the next section, Section 4.

Section 4: Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessments (DGOA)

Each graduate program at the University of Maryland has an approved Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessment (DGOA) plan that is designed to provide students and program faculty with clear and consistent information about students' progress toward their degree. The DGOA in the Special Education doctoral program is organized around three categories of outcomes that guide the preparation of doctoral students. These categories are depicted in more detail in **Table 5: Doctoral Graduate Outcomes Assessment:**

- Research and Scholarship
- Disciplinary Knowledge
- Leadership and Professional Knowledge

Each category includes specific learning outcomes for doctoral students that are assessed throughout the program of study using a combination of: a) rubrics from coursework to demonstrate competence, and b) a structured review process to

determine progress to degree. Student progress is assessed at the following points or milestones in the program that is also depicted in **Table 5: Doctoral Graduate Outcome Assessment (DGOA):**

- Annual Review Year 2 and until Advancement to Candidacy (Early Assessment)
- Advancement to Candidacy: Comprehensive Portfolio Review (Mid Assessment)
- Dissertation Research (Late Assessment)
- Post-Graduation Follow-up (Late Assessment)

The assessments provide students with information about their own progress to degree as well as the experiences and accomplishments that they are expected to have. In addition, the DGOA provides special education faculty with program benchmarks to update or revise the requirements for the program, as necessary.

Annual Review – Year 2 and until advancement to candidacy (Early Assessment)

The Special Education Graduate Committee is responsible for reviewing and evaluating student progress on an annual basis. Doctoral students are responsible for meeting with their advisor on at least an annual basis to complete and update the Annual Review Form. Frequent meetings provide an opportunity for the student and his or her advisor to plan early for coursework and evaluate progress in a timely manner.

Steps in the Annual Review Progress

- Starting Year 2 of the doctoral programs and until the student advances to candidacy, the student will complete (or update) Table 4: Annual Review Form for Doctoral Candidate and Advisor and return it electronically to their Advisor by October, 31.
 - o If a student is scheduled/planning to have a comprehensive portfolio review exam during a particular Fall semester, then a student is exempt from the annual review. Rather, a comprehensive portfolio review will replace the annual review. Similarly, if a student is scheduled/planning to have an oral defense of the dissertation during a particular Fall semester, a student is exempt from the annual review.
- The Advisor will review the form and provide additional comments for the Graduate Committee when needed. The Advisor emails the document with his or her assessment of the student's progress to the Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education by November 15.
- 3. Two members of the Graduate Committee review the Annual Review Form (with Tables 1 attached) to determine if the student is making progress to degree.
- 4. The student and advisor receive a letter by December 1 from the Graduate Committee with the outcome of the review. This can include *Satisfactory* or *Unsatisfactory with Conditional Provisions*. The Graduate Committee may

- provide recommendations to students in need of support and services to make satisfactory progress to the degree or attach provisions that must be met during the following year. The student develops a plan to meet any conditions or provisions during the following year.
- 5. In the event that a student does not meet the conditional provisions by the next annual review, the Graduate Committee may recommend dismissal from the program to the Graduate School after consulting with the student's Advisor.

Advancement to Candidacy: Comprehensive Portfolio Review (Mid Assessment)

All doctoral students must complete a comprehensive portfolio as part of the requirements to Advance to Candidacy. The portfolio review committee must consist of three EDSP graduate faculty members. This review serves as the mid assessment stage of the DGOA and the annual review form is not required during the year that the student has a comprehensive portfolio review. The Comprehensive Portfolio is completed in lieu of comprehensive exams.

At (or nearing) completion of all required core, methodology and elective coursework, the student should have many of the required items needed for the Portfolio (see **Table 6**). The portfolio represents a compilation of various products and experiences that a student has amassed that relateto specific doctoral outcomes. The following is a description of the items to include in the Portfolio and the process that is followed by the Graduate Committee to review and evaluate the student's work.

Comprehensive Portfolio Review Items

Each doctoral student is expected to include four required items, two that are required for everyone (CV and Synthesis Paper) and two that can vary based on individual experiences. Variable items are to be representative of research and scholarship, disciplinary knowledge or professional and leadership and professional knowledge. Items are not to be used for more than one category. In addition to the electronic copy of the portfolio, an oral defense is required. Students should complete Table 7: EDSP Rubric for Portfolio Review when submitting these materials to the Graduate Committee.

- 1. Curriculum Vita (CV). The student should update their CV with publications, presentations and relevant experiences.
- 2. Synthesis Paper. The synthesis is a formal review of the literature and compilation of its evidence on a particular topic. Unlike a literature review, the synthesis presents a detailed methodology for the review of empirical evidence and results in new information, specifically better understanding of a current practice, a historical analysis, or a meta-analysis of the effects of an intervention or practice. The synthesis paper is expected to be of publishable quality and prepared to meet the guidelines of a specific journal (e.g., *Exceptional Children*), but publication is not a program requirement. The student will submit the specific guidelines for the journal they intend to submit to, along

with the synthesis paper as the first item in their portfolio. The student should be the first or sole author. An oral presentation of the complete synthesis is required for the Portfolio Review. *Note: a summary of the same synthesis (although perhaps with revisions, after the portfolio review) is presented to the dissertation committee as part of the dissertation proposal meeting, as the synthesis serves as the first paper of the dissertation.*

3. Evaluation of Research and Scholarship

Choice of one or more from the following (only one is required)

- First or co-authored published journal article, or article submitted for publication to a peer-reviewed journal.
 - Date Submitted:
 - Title:
- First or co-authored published chapter, or chapter submitted for publication.
 Chapters should be published in reputable academic publishing houses or university presses.
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:
- First or co-authored policy paper or brief published or submitted for publication.
 - □ Date Submitted
 - Title:
- Pilot Study (Description) with Advisor's Sign-off
 - □ Date Submitted
 - Title:

4. Evaluation of Leadership and Professional Knowledge Choice of one or more from the following (only one is required)

- Research Internship: Description of agency, grant, or study, the student's responsibilities, products if applicable, and evaluation of the student's participation.
 - □ Date
 - Title of Description
- First or co-authored conference presentation or poster presentation. For poster presentations, a short abstract of the poster should be provided
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:
- Grant proposal including grant proposal for a research project; proposal to obtain support for one's dissertation work; proposal for personnel preparation grants; proposal for research. Rules for co-authorship are as above for papers or chapters (or faculty member attests to the components completed by the student). The student's advisor determines the appropriateness of the granting agency.
 - Status (e.g., In Preparation; submitted; funded; include due date for proposal)
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:

- Service or leadership experience or Internship: Examples include: Membership and service to a professional organization; service to a departmental, college, or campus committee; service in a community organization; evaluation from internship experience; and professional development activity for organization or school system
 - □ Date
 - Title and Description

Recommended Steps for Graduate Student to Compile and Submit Portfolio

Student meets with advisor to finalize optional items to include in Comprehensive Portfolio. Student and advisor also determine timelines for finalizing required items (**Table 6**)

Once all of the materials for the portfolio are finalized, the student and advisor will discuss the members of the portfolio committee. The committee is comprised of the advisor and two other faculty members whose research interests align with the student's. In general, committee members should be faculty from the EDSP program or a program within UMD. Occasionally, a student may be working with a researcher from outside the university. In cases such as these the student must notify their advisor and alert the DGS two months prior to the exam date so that the external member can be nominated and approved to sit on the committee.
Student assembles documents to include in Portfolio electronically and shares them with committee members at least two business weeks prior to the defense.
Student schedules Oral Exam for Comprehensive Portfolio Review
Advisor signs off on the Checklist for the Portfolio Items and submits rubrics from each faculty member. (see Table 7 for evaluation criteria)
Student makes (and Advisor oversees) changes to portfolio items, as needed, after the Ora Exam
Student submits Comprehensive Portfolio electronically to the Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education (Dr. Gulnoza Yakubova)

Review and Evaluation Process for the Comprehensive Portfolio Review

Committee members have two weeks to complete a review of written materials including: the student's CV, synthesis papers, and variable items to determine if each meets the requirements of **Satisfactory** (based on a minimum of two faculty judging the items Satisfactory), or **Revise and Resubmit** (based on two faculty members' reviews). Student and Advisor are notified if an item needs revisions and are given ONE opportunity to resubmit any items that need revision. If the student is unable to successfully revise an item(s), the committee may recommend dismissal from the graduate program.

Section 5: The Dissertation Process

Overview The purpose of the dissertation is to demonstrate the ability to successfully conduct original research that contributes to the knowledge base in special education and related fields. A dissertation is a significant undertaking that involves applying, integrating, analyzing, and advancing research in the area in which the student has

chosen to specialize.

Special Education Program Dissertation Requirements: The Special Education program has adopted a three-paper model for the dissertation. One paper should be focused on a synthesis of the literature, with two additional papers that both derive from empirical research or include one policy paper or practitioner paper that connects in some way to the empirical paper. The three papers should be connected to an overarching theme for the dissertation, and together the three papers should make a coherent contribution to an important area of special education or related fields. More information on the format of the dissertation is provided later in this handbook. Students should begin considering their dissertation as soon as they begin their doctoral studies in close consultation with their advisor. As per UMD graduate school regulations, a dissertation must be completed and defended in no less than six months and no more than four years from advancement to candidacy.

Graduate School Requirements. A dissertation is required for all doctoral students, and it is up to the student, Advisor, and Dissertation Committee to determine appropriate content, methods, and writing quality. The student should plan to utilize the UM Graduate School writing resources for graduate students to support their work.

Research Assurances. Doctoral Students must obtain several levels of approval before conducting their research. First, approval to conduct their research must be granted by both the dissertation committee and advisor. After that, the UMD Institutional Review Board must approve the use of any human subjects or their data in the project. Third, if the student is planning to work in schools or other organizations, approval letters or documentation from the school or districts' own IRB must be obtained. For additional guidance and tutorials: https://research.umd.edu/irb

Dissertation Proposal. The doctoral candidate must defend his or her proposed dissertation research plans. The dissertation is described beginning on page 17, see the evaluation criteria for the proposal in **Table 8: EDSP Rubric for Dissertation Proposal**

For the **dissertation proposal committee**: The doctoral candidate and his or her advisor should strive to identify and invite as many of the five dissertation committee members to the proposal meeting. However, EDSP faculty agrees with the Graduate School that the dissertation *proposal* meeting may be held with three members present (as long as one is the candidate's advisor). It is also possible that the proposal committee members could change by the time the oral defense is scheduled.

Once the Examining Committee approves the proposal, the student must apply and receive approval by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) prior to the initiation of the research (https://www.irbnet.org). The application can be found online. The student completes the application, the advisor reads and approves the application, the EDSP IRB liaison reads the application, provides feedback and suggestions for changes to the application. Once those changes are made, the EDSP IRB liaison signs off on the application, and the student submits the application to the University IRB. The University IRB may have additional suggestions/changes the student must make to the application. Research cannot begin until the student (and the advisor) receives approval

from the IRB. Students should allow four weeks to secure approval from the IRB Office.

Eligibility. Eligibility to defend a dissertation occurs when the student, (a) has been advanced to candidacy for at least 6 months, (b) has met all program requirements for a dissertation examination, (c) is in good standing as a graduate student at the University, (d) has registered for at least one credit of EDSP 899, (e) has a valid Graduate School-approved Dissertation Examining Committee.

Continuous Registration. A doctoral candidate must register for six dissertation credit hours every semester, excluding summer and winter sessions, until the degree is awarded. A minimum of 12 semester hours of dissertation credits must be completed (EDSP 899). During the dissertation stage, Waivers of Continuous Registration are only granted under the University's policy for Dependent Care. All graduate students must register for courses and pay associated tuition and fees each semester, not including summer and winter sessions, until the degree is awarded.

Dissertation Committee. Each doctoral candidate and his or her advisor will select members for an Examining Committee. Members must be invited to this committee at least **six weeks before** the student holds an oral exam for the defense. The Universityhas very specific guidelines for membership on the Dissertation Examining Committee and the student should review this information here: https://academiccatalog.umd.edu/graduate/policies/doctoral-degrees-policies/

Briefly, the Committee must include a minimum of five members of the Graduate Faculty; at least three must be Full Members. The Chair of the Committee will typically be the student's advisor, who will be a Full Member of the Graduate Faculty, or who has been granted an exception to the policy by the Dean of the Graduate School. Each Committee will have appointed to it a representative of the Dean of the Graduate School. The Dean's Representative may be one of the five voting members. Alternatively, the Dean's Representative may not be a voting member of the Committee. If the Dean's Representative is non-voting, the student must have five other voting members. The student, primary advisor and the Dean's Representative will determine whether the Dean's Representative is voting or non-voting.

Format. The Graduate School's requirements for Dissertations are outlined in the **Electronic Thesis and Dissertation Style Guide**. The Style Guide includes information on formatting the document with Microsoft Word. Download a copy of the Style Guide and consult this document prior to beginning the process.

Dissertation

The dissertation will consist of five chapters: (1) an introduction, (2) a full research synthesis paper conducted as part of the comprehensive portfolio exam, (3) a full paper on the first empirical study, (4) a full paper on thesecond empirical study OR a policy or practitioner paper, and (5) a conclusion.

• The introduction presents the overall theme of the dissertation and includes a)

the statement of the problem, (b) a *summary* of the most relevant literature reviewed in the synthesis, (c) a theoretical framework, and (d) an overview of the three papers to be presented in the dissertation.

- The Synthesis presents an introduction to the problem addressed in the
 dissertation, a systematic and critical review of the literature base relevant to the
 problem, and a discussion including conclusions, limitations, and future
 directions. This paper may be an expansion of the research completed in EDSP
 872 and for the Comprehensive Portfolio Requirements (See Section 4).
 Submission to a journal is expected; acceptance of the article is not required.
- Two Empirical Studies (or one empirical study and one practitioner/policy paper) could be conducted using data from the same context and sample.
 However, the studies should address different research questions and use at least some unique data (i.e., some data may be overlapping across studies, but other data should be unique to each study).
 - The Empirical Articles (and/or the practitioner/policy paper) include an introduction, a brief literature review (based largely on the synthesis), a methods section, an analysis and results section, and a discussion (including limitations) and conclusions section.

OR

- One Empirical Study and one Policy or Practice paper. Students may substitute a
 non-empirical manuscript in lieu of a second empirical study. This paper should
 focus on policy implications of the main empirical work under review or be a
 paper that disseminates the empirical findings for a practitioner audience.
 Submission to a journal is expected; acceptance of the article is not required.
- The Conclusion to the dissertation should provide a general discussion about the contribution of the dissertation to the field as well as possible applications and directions for future research.
- References must appear at the end of the entire dissertation for the Graduate School. It is recommended that the student provide references at the end of each chapter if the chapters represent manuscripts for submission to journals (or published papers) to aid in reading each, due to the "stand alone" nature of each part of the overall project.

Example of Dissertation. To illustrate a three-paper dissertation process, the following example is provided:

A student conducts a research synthesis on vocabulary intervention for children with disabilities. They then conduct an intervention comparing two methods of intervention (between subjects) and two text types (within subjects). The student's dissertation consists of (a) an introduction about the importance of vocabulary in language and literacy development and seminal research on vocabulary intervention and the affordances of various text types (chapter 1), (b) a research synthesis on previous vocabulary interventions implemented with children with disabilities (chapter 2), (c) a paper about the effects of two different methods of intervention on the vocabulary learning of students' with disabilities (chapter 3), (d) a paper about

the effects of text type on students' vocabulary learning (chapter 4), and (e) a conclusion tying the papers together and summing up the contribution of the dissertation to the research base (chapter 5).

A second student conducts a research synthesis on writing instruction in science for children who are EL and students with LD. They then collaborate with EDSP faculty in a study involving teacher professional development with general education science teachers and conduct their own intervention on the same topic with the target population of interest. The student writes a research paper based on intervention research and a practitioner paper. The student's dissertation consists of (a) an introduction chapter, (b) a research synthesis, (c) empirical research, (d) practitioner paper, and (e) conclusion. The student writes two manuscripts, one on each topic, and writes at least one paper for a research audience.

The three papers in the dissertation should be of publishable quality. The papers should follow the formatting guidelines of potential publishing outlets. Students should consult journals to which they might consider submitting articles for guidance on tone, length, and format. Identifying potential outlets in the proposal is recommended. Manuscripts do not have to be submitted to these publishing outlets prior to the defense or graduation, but submission of the articles during or shortly after graduation is an expectation.

Students must be the first author on all papers. Multi-authored papers, including papers co-written with advisors, are acceptable but students should clearly outline their unique contribution in their proposal so the committee is clear on what individuals have contributed and can determine whether the individual contribution is acceptable for a dissertation.

Oral Defense Announcement

The Graduate School requires that an announcement of the oral defense be disseminated to all faculty and graduate students within the department in which the candidate's degree is to be awarded. The oral announcement must include the candidate's name; the date, time, and location of the defense; and the dissertation title. It is the program's responsibility to disseminate the dissertation defense date announcement to its faculty and students. Oral defenses must be held in university facilities that are readily accessible to all members of the Dissertation Examining Committee and all others attending the defense. The chair of the Dissertation Examination Committee selects the time and place for the examination. Doctoral candidates in the Special Education program should send announcement requests to Carol Scott at cscott18@umd.edu

Procedures for the Oral Dissertation Examination

The Candidate and chair of the dissertation committee will schedule a two-hour time blockfor the oral examination and reserve a room with staff in the CHSE office.

A written copy of the proposal must be sent to the Examining Committee at least 10 working days prior to scheduled oral defense along with Table 9: EDSP

Rubric for Final Defense (Written and Oral Presentation). It is the Advisor's responsibility to make sure committee members complete all forms and send them to the Director and Coordinator of Graduate Studies in Special Education.

All members of the Examining Committee must attend the oral examination unless permission to conduct a remote-participation defense has been obtained by the dissertation chair from the Graduate School in advance. The Graduate School, prior to the defense, and in conjunction with the College Graduate Studies Office must approve last-minute changes in the constitution of the Committee. The Dissertation Chair must submit in writing any requested substitution to the Associate Dean of the College of Education. The substitution is not official until the Graduate School grants the approval and the written confirmation is received by the Associate Dean. The oral defense may not be held until the official written substitution has been made. A defense that is held with one or more substitute members on the committee, but without prior written confirmation of approval of the substitution from the Graduate School, will be voided and the defense will have to be repeated.

Remember that the Dean's Representative is responsible for ensuring that *all* Graduate School policies are followed during the oral exam; *this person should be identified at the outset of the meeting.* The <u>oral exam consists of two parts</u>:

Part 1 - A public presentation by the candidate on the main aspects of the research reported in the dissertation (typically 15 -20 minutes). This includes a brief summary of the research with an emphasis on the important results and the reasoning that led to the conclusions. During Part 1, questions from the audience to the candidate will be permitted. For questions from persons who are not members of the Dissertation Examining Committee, the Chair of the Dissertation Examining Committee will have discretion to decide whether such questions are germane to the topic of the dissertation and how much time will be allotted for theanswers.

Part 2 - A formal examination of the candidate by the Dissertation Examination Committee. This part is open only to the Dissertation Examination Committee, other members of the Graduate Faculty, and graduate students from the candidate's graduate program. During Part 2, only members of the Dissertation Examination Committee will be permitted to ask questions.

At the conclusion of questioning, the doctoral candidate is asked to leave the room as the discussion and vote is limited to the members of the Dissertation Examining Committee. The Committee has the following options:

- Accept the dissertation without any recommended changes and sign the Report of Examining Committee.
- Accept the dissertation with recommendations for changes and, except for the chair, sign the Report of the Examining Committee. The chair will check that the changes to the dissertation have been made, and, upon his or her approval, sign the Report of Examining Committee.
- Recommend revisions to the dissertation and not sign the Report of Examining

- Committee until the student has made the changes and submitted the revised dissertation for the Dissertation Examining Committee's approval. The Dissertation Examining Committee members sign the Report of Examining Committee if they approve the revised dissertation.
- Recommend revisions and convene a second meeting of the Dissertation Examining Committee to review the dissertation and complete the student's examination.
- Rule the dissertation unsatisfactory. In that circumstance, the student fails. Following the examination, the chair, in the presence of the Dean's Representative, must inform the student of the outcome of the examination. The chair and the Dean's Representative both sign a Report of the Examining Committee indicating which of the above alternatives has been adopted. A copy of this statement is to be included in the student's file at the graduate program office, and a copy is given to the student.

Filing the Dissertation in Digital Form

The doctoral candidate is responsible for following the <u>specific procedures</u> for preparing and submitting the dissertation electronically by the published deadline in *Important Dates for All Graduating Graduate Students* https://education.umd.edu/student-resources/student-services/graduate-studies-student-services-office/important-datesdeadlines
Note that there is a fee associated with this submission.

Application for Graduation

The student is responsible for filing and completing all forms required throughout their respective degree program as well as for graduation. Forms can also be found at the UM Graduate School at https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/forms

To ensure each student has met the graduate degree requirements, a request for a graduation "AUDIT" can be retrieved from the Student Services Office in the College of Education (Room 1204 Benjamin). The Student Services Office also provide a step-by-step graduation toward requirements guide at https://education.umd.edu/student-resources/student-services/graduate-studies-student-services-office/step-step-graduation-1

The deadline to apply for graduation is the 10th day of class of the semester in which a student intends to graduate. All outstanding accounts due to the University must be paid in full before the degree will be conferred. This includes such items as late registration fees, library fines, parking tickets, as well as the diploma fee. Neither the diploma nor any transcripts will be issued until outstanding bills are settled. If the student does not graduate as planned, they must apply for the diploma again when they are able to graduate. Academic regalia (robe and cap) are required for all candidates at graduation and students must place the order.

Submission of Forms and Deadlines.

When in doubt about a form or a specific deadline, contact Ms. Carol Scott, cscott18@umd.edu, Coordinator of CHSE Graduate Programs.

The <u>College of Education</u>, <u>Graduate Studies Office</u> provides documentation to the Graduate School that each graduating student has met all graduation requirements. <u>The Graduate School is</u> the official clearance office and must receive forms in support of graduation from the College of Education. Allow extra time to acquire the necessary signatures, in order to meet deadlines. <u>All required forms are available on-line in a printable format</u>. In order to graduate, students must meet the requirements and adhere to the policies of the admitted program, the department, the College of Education, and the Graduate School, and must meet published deadlines. The College of Education and the department may set regulations and requirements above and beyond Graduate School requirements.

Post-Graduation Assessments

Once a student has submitted the dissertation electronically, the UM Graduate School requires them to complete a short survey that is confidential. The UM Doctoral Experience Survey, conducted by the Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Assessment, is used to improve and enhance the doctoral student experience at UM https://gradschool.umd.edu/students/academic-progress/doctoral-student-surveys

In addition, the Special Education Graduate Committee sends out a short Exit survey by email one year after the student exits the programs. The Special Education PhD Exit Survey is designed to collect information on the individual's post-graduate employment and to solicit feedback regarding the strengths and needs of the PhD program.

Summary

This handbook has been developed to provide an overview of the Ph.D. program in special education. It is not intended to be the final statement of policy and students should consult their advisor, the office websites noted in this document as well as the CHSE Graduate Coordinator to obtain the most current policy or form.

The graduate faculty in the special education program are proud of the Ph.D. program and its students, and we are here to help demystify the journey toward your degree.

List of Websites

Basic Needs Security, go.umd.edu/basic-needs

Campus General Needs Assistance, go.umd.edu/assistance

College of Education, https://education.umd.edu/

Commencement Regalia, https://commencement.umd.edu/graduation-regalia-information

Counseling, Higher Education, and Special Education (CHSE)

https://education.umd.edu/academics/departments/chse

CHSE Faculty, https://education.umd.edu/faculty-directory

CITI Training – IRB, https://research.umd.edu/irbtraining

Determination of In-State Status, http://registrar.umd.edu/resreclass.html,

Division of Information Technology, https://it.umd.edu/

Doctoral Experience Survey, https://gradschool.umd.edu/students/academic-progress/doctoral-student-surveys

Electronic Dissertation,

https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/sites/gradschool.umd.edu/files/uploads/thesis_and_dissertation_electronic_publication_form.pdf

Electronic Dissertation Style Guide, https://gradschool.umd.edu/students/academic-progress/thesis-and-dissertation-filing

Graduate School, https://gradschool.umd.edu//

Graduate School Catalog, https://academiccatalog.umd.edu/graduate/

Graduate School Catalog Academic Policies for Graduate Students,

https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/policies

Graduate School Catalog Admissions Policies, https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/admissions
Graduate School Writing Resources, https://gradschool.umd.edu/graduate-school-writing-center
Graduate School Student Services, https://education.umd.edu/student-resources/student-services-office
Services/graduate-studies-student-services-office

Graduate Student College of Education Forms, https://education.umd.edu/student-resources/student-services/graduate-studies-student-services-office/graduate-studies-forms

Graduate Student General Forms, https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/forms

Graduation Overview, https://education.umd.edu/student-resources/student-services/graduate-student-services-office/graduation-steps

Housing, Long-Term, https://www.southernmanagement.com/communities/graduate-hills/, https://www.southernmanagement.com/

Immunization Form, https://health.umd.edu/immunizations

Important Dates for Graduate Students (CoE), https://education.umd.edu/student-

<u>resources/student-services/graduate-studies-student-services-office/important-datesdeadlines</u>

International Student Graduate Policy,

<u>https://academiccatalog.umd.edu/graduate/programs/international-education-policy-hiep/</u>
Leave of Absence Policy, https://www.gradschool.umd.edu/course-related-policies
Residency Classification, https://registrar.umd.edu/resreclass.html

UMD Counseling Center, If you feel you are encountering problems that hamper your academic performance or life on campus, contact the Counseling Center 301-314-7651 or Mental Health Services 301-314-HELP for resources or referrals or visit their website: http://www.counseling.umd.edu/

Appendix A: Tables for Students and Advisors

Table 1: Doctoral Course List

Total Credits: All coursework post Masters	60	Date Taken – Grade
EDSP Required Courses:	15	
EDSP 860 Doctoral Research Seminar	(3)	
EDSP 875 Policy Issues Affecting Individuals with Disabilities	(3)	
EDSP 850 Intervention Research in Language and Literacy	(3)	
EDSP 872 Theory and Empirical Design in Educational Research	(3)	
EDSP 870 Single Case Research Designs in Education	(3)	
Research Methodology & Design Courses	15	
EDMS 645 Quantitative Research Methods I	(3)	
EDMS 646 General Linear Models I	(3)	
 Qualitative or mixed-methods course (choose one): EDSP 871 Qualitative Methodologies in Special Education or equivalent TLPL 790 Seminar in Mixed Methods Research in Education TLPL 791 Qualitative Research I 	(3)	

Intermediate Quantitative or Qualitative Methods Course (choose one): CHSE 675 Meta-Analysis EDMS 655 Introduction to Multilevel Modeling EDMS 657 Exploratory Latent and Composite Variable Methods EDMS 722 Structural Modeling EDMS 724 Modern Measurement Theory TLPL 790 Mixed Methods		
 TLPL 792 Qualitative Research II: Analysis and Interpretation of Data Other [Determine with Advisor] 		
Teaching Apprenticeship. [Determine with Advisor] Students apprentice in college teaching in a variety of ways, through Graduate Assistantships, and working with advisors or other faculty. Coursework up to 3 credits.		
TLTC 798 University Teaching and Learning	(1)	
EDSP 888A Supervised College Teaching in SpecialEducation (variable hours, may be repeated)	(1)	
Dissertation Research	15	
EDSP 898 Pre-Candidacy Research (minimum 3)	(3-4)	
EDSP 899 Doctoral Dissertation Research (minimum 12)		
EXAMPLE Elective Areas/Courses Chosen with Advisor	15	
Example Elective Area: A student may choose to take additional research methodology courses as electives (variable courses and credits). Additional electives may be taken after the student advances to candidacy, if desired and the student's funding allows.		
Example Elective Area: Literacy/Language Difficulties		
CHSE 685 Grant Writing EDCI 788 Applied Linguistics (3) EDHD840 Language and Literacy Development (3) LING646 Cognitive Neuroscience of Language (3) HESP616 Language Disorders in Preschool Age (2) SLAA610 Research and Theories in Second Language Acquisition (3)		
EDSP 798 Independent Study EDSP 898 Pre-dissertation research credits		

Table 2A: EDSP Sample Course Sequence A 60 credits

Year 1 = 18 credits

Fall	Credits	Spring	credits
EDSP 875 Legal and Policy Foundations forIndividuals with Disabilities	3	EDSP 850 Intervention Research in Language and Literacy	3
EDMS 645 Quantitative Research Methods	3	EDSP 870 Single Case Research Designs in Education	3
EDSP 860 Apprenticeship in Special Education: Doctoral Research Seminar	3	EDMS 646 Quantitative Research Methods II	3
	9		9
	Year 2 :	= 19 credits	
Elective	3	EDSP 872 Theory and Empirical Design in Educational Research (offered in odd years)	3
Qualitative or Mixed Methods Course	3	EDSP 898 Pre-dissertation credits	2
TLTC 798 Universal Teaching and Learning	1	EDSP 888A Research Apprenticeship in Special Education teaching with advisor	1
Elective	3	Intermediate Methods Course	3
	10		9
	Year	3 = 11 credits	
EDSP 898 Pre-Candidacy Research	2	EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6
Elective	3		
	5		6
	Year 4 :	= 12 credits	
EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6	EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6
	6		6

Notes. Students finish their Comprehensive Portfolio for review by the EDSP Graduate Committee. Upon successful completion, students apply to Advance to Candidacy. Others register for additional credits of EDSP 898 until Portfolio is complete and reviewed by Graduate Committee.

Table 2B: EDSP Sample Course Sequence B 60 credits

Year 1 = 19 credits

Fall	credits	Spring	credits
EDSP 875 Legal and Policy Foundations for Individuals with Disabilities	3	EDSP 872 Theory and Empirical Designs in Education Research	3
EDMS 645 Quantitative Research Methods	3	EDSP 870 Single Case Research Designs in Education	3
EDSP 860 Apprenticeship in Special Education: Doctoral Research Seminar	3	EDMS 646 Quantitative Research Methods II	3
		TLTC 798 University Teaching and Learning	1
	9		10
	Year 2 =	18 credits	
Intermediate Methods Course	3	EDSP 898 Pre-Dissertation credits	2
Qualitative or Mixed Methods Course	3	Elective Area	3
Elective Area	3	EDSP 850 Intervention Research in Language and Literacy	3
EDSP 888A Research Apprenticeship in Special Education teaching	1		
	10		9
	Year 3 =	11 credits	
EDSP 898 Pre-Dissertation Research *	2	EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6
Elective Area	3		
	5		6
	Year 4 1	2 credits	
EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6	EDSP 899 Dissertation Research	6
	6		S

Notes. Students finish their Comprehensive Portfolio for review by the EDSP Graduate Committee. Upon successful completion, students apply to Advance to Candidacy. Others register for additional credits of EDSP 898 until Portfolio is complete and reviewed by Graduate Committee.

Table 3: Advising Highlights by Year

	Table 3. Advising riiginights by Teal
Admit	 Student-Advisor Responsibilities Review Requirements in the Special Education Program Doctoral Handbook Develop Tentative Schedule of Courses Review College of Education Office of Student Services Website for Required Forms, Dates Sign up for CITI Training: Human Subjects Research Training-IRB *Clearance to Register: After advising, student and/or advisor emails Carol Scott cscott18@umd.edu for clearance to register; this is required each semester
Annual Review	 Student and Advisor Complete Annual Review Form Table 4 and Submit to Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education
Year 2	Student and Advisor meet to update any changes to Course Schedule, plan for Portfolio Requirements
	Check Important Dates for Graduate Students, COE Student Services
Portfolio Year 3	 Advancement to Candidacy: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Student and Advisor Meet to Finalize Requirements for Comprehensive Portfolio (EDSP 889) Student Receives Advisor approval to forward Portfolio Graduate Committee Reviews and Evaluates Portfolio Apply for Research Using Human Subjects (IRB) - Must be approved before dissertation research begins File Form: Application for Advancement to Candidacy OR continue to register for EDSP 889 Pre-dissertation Research * Check Important Dates for Graduate Students, COE Student Services
Dissertation Research Year 4-5	 Student and Advisor Complete Annual Review Form Table 4 and Submit to Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education Continuous Registration for EDSP 899 Dissertation Research – six credits each semester is required* Check Important Dates for Graduate Students, COE Student Services File Form: Nomination of Dissertation Examination Committee Proposal Dissertation Oral Exam File Form: Oral Examination by Dissertation Committee Rubric: EDSP Dissertation Oral Exam File Form: Graduation Audit File: Dissertation Electronic Publication Form; Pro-Quest

Table 4: Annual Review for Doctoral Candidate and Advisor

Download and complete this information (save the document with your **last name**, the words "**annual review**" and the **date** as the title), then send it to your advisor by April 30. The advisor will forward the document with his or her own evaluation to the Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education by May 15.

Annual Review - Years 1 and 2 (Early Assessments) -

Research and Scholarship:

Please list and briefly describe the research and scholarship activities in which you have participated during the past year. Also, please attach your CV highlighting the publications, presentations, and workshops that you have completed or have had in progress during the past year.

Disciplinary Knowledge

Coursework. Please complete the table below with information about which required classes you took and what grades you received in those classes.

Course	Semester	Grade
EDSP 875 Policy Issues Affecting Individuals with Disabilities		
EDSP 860 Apprenticeship in Special Education: Doctoral		
Research Seminar		
EDSP 850 Intervention Research in Language and		
Literacy		
EDSP 870 Single Case Research Designs in Education		
EDSP 872 Theory and Empirical Design in Educational		
Research		
EDSP 888A Supervised College Teaching		
EDSP 898 Pre-dissertation credits		
EDSP 899 Dissertation credits		
TLTC 798 University Teaching and learning		
EDMS 645		
EDMS 646		
Qualitative or Mixed Methods Class (e.g., EDSP 871, TLPL 791)		
Intermediate Methods Class		
Elective 1:		
Elective 2:		
Elective 3:		

^{*}Attach completed rubric for the graduate outcomes assessment.

Synthesis Paper: Please describe your progress on your research synthesis. Have you chosen a topic? Have you begun your literature search? Have you begun critiquing studies?

Leadership and Professional Knowledge

Please list and describe leadership or professional activities in which you participated during the past year. These might include teaching or internship experiences or service opportunities (e.g., conducting professional development at a school).

Self-Assessment

Please indicate whether you think you have made satisfactory or unsatisfactory progress in each of the three areas above. For areas that you note as unsatisfactory, please develop an action plan for how you will develop in that area over the next year.

Annual Review – Years 3 and 4 (Later Assessments)

Research and Scholarship:

Please list and briefly describe the research and scholarship activities in which you have participated during the past year. Also, please attach your CV highlighting the publications, presentations, and workshops that you have completed or have had in progress during the past year.

Please describe your progress on the empirical studies in your dissertation. Have you completed your proposal? Have you begun collecting data? Have you begun analyzing data? Have you begun writing your first empirical? Have you begun writing your second empirical paper?

Disciplinary Knowledge

Please describe your progress the synthesis in your dissertation. Have you updated your synthesis since you advanced to candidacy? Have you responded to faculty feedback from your comprehensive portfolio review committee?

Leadership and Professional Knowledge

Please list and describe leadership or professional activities in which you participated during the past year. These might include teaching or internship experiences or service opportunities (e.g., conducting professional development at a school).

Self-Assessment

Please indicate whether you think you have made satisfactory or unsatisfactory progress in each of the three areas above. For areas that you note as unsatisfactory, please develop an action plan for how you will develop in that area over the next year.

Annual Review Criteria for Advisors

Based on your advisee's annual self-evaluation, please rate your student as showing satisfactory or unsatisfactory progress to degree in each of the graduate outcomes assessment domains. Also, please explain your rating. For any rating of unsatisfactory, please note recommendations for the future.

Graduate Outcomes Assessment Domain	Rating (Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory)	Explanation
Research and Scholarship		
Disciplinary Knowledge		
Leadership and		
Professional Knowledge		
Overall		

Table 5: Doctoral Graduate Outcome Assessments (DGOA)

Category	Learning Outcome	Class or Experience	Stage of Graduate Career and Assessments (Early, Mid, Late, Post)	Progress to Degree for Students
Research and Scholarship	Demonstrate research competence including the ability to: • Formulate a research problem that respond to identified gaps in professional literature. • Design and execute study appropriate to exam the problem. • Analyze, interpret da	Research credits and EDSP 850	Mid: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Year 3 Late: Rubric: Dissertation Proposal Exam Year 4 or 5	Satisfactory: Students Advance to Candidacy Revise and Resubmit: One Opportunity within six months Satisfactory: Students Undertake Dissertation Research
Category Disciplinary	Learning Outcome Demonstrate an	Class or Experience for Assessment EDSP 875 Legal and	Stages of Graduate Career and Assessments Early, Mid, Late, Post Early: Rubric: Policy project/paper	Progress to Degree for Students Satisfactory: Student shows
Knowledge	understanding of the history, issues, and policies related to persons with disabilities or at risk for disabilities	Policy Foundations	Year 1	promise in terms of writing quality, synthesizing information from research, presenting paper appropriately with technology

Demonstrate an understanding of current educational and disability issues and policies pertaining to children, youth, and adults with disabilities.	EDSP 860 Doctoral Research Seminar	Early: Literature Review Year 1	Satisfactory: Student shows promise in terms of understanding and applying policy to programs and services for individuals with disabilities
Demonstrate understanding of the theoretical and empirical foundations within special education and elective area	EDSP 870 Single Subject Research Designs	Early: Rubric: Single Subject Study Paper Year 2	Satisfactory: Student conducts literature review, identifies problem, selects design for single subject research, writes up study
Demonstrate the ability to write and communicate the outcomes of research to multiple audiences Demonstrate understanding of various research designs as well as the ability to conduct literature reviews, syntheses, and meta- analysis	EDSP 850 Intervention Research in Language and Literacy EDSP 872 Theory and Empirical Design in Education	Early: Rubric: Interpretative Analysis Paper Year 2	Satisfactory: Student shows sustained growth in terms of writing quality, ability to synthesize information, understanding of research methods, and oral presentations which incorporate technology
	EDSP 898 Predissertation Research	Mid: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Year 3	Satisfactory: Student demonstrates competence in the three categories and corresponding learning outcomes; advances to candidacy
	EDSP 899 Doctoral Dissertation Research	Late: Rubric: Dissertation Proposal Exam Year 4	Satisfactory: Student undertakes dissertation research which is original and contributes to knowledge of field
	EDSP 899 Doctoral Dissertation Research	Late: Rubric: Dissertation Final Exam Year 4 or 5	Satisfactory: Student defends dissertation research work orally & written and graphics, tables appropriate

Category	Learning Outcome	Class or	Stage of Graduate	Progress to Degree for Students
		Experience for Assessment	Career and Assessments Early, Mid, Late, Post	
Leadership & Professional Knowledge	Translate research to practice and demonstrate use of evidence-based practices	TLTC 798 University Teaching and Learning	Early: Rubric: Teaching Collection	Satisfactory: Student demonstrates ability to construct a syllabus for blended learning, incorporate UDL into presentations, determine grading procedures, collect resources for course topics
	Apply principles of UDL for teaching at the college level and/or in professional development activity	EDSP 888A Supervised College Teaching	Early: Rubric: UDL and technology integration	Satisfactory: Student teaches course or makes presentation on state or national level, collects evaluation data from audience; demonstrates use of UDL and technology integration along with other optimal presentation techniques
	Demonstrate the ability to provide service to profession	EDSP 898: Pre- dissertation Research	Mid: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Year 3	Satisfactory: Student demonstrates competence in the three categories and corresponding learning outcomes; advances to candidacy
	Demonstrate the ability to write effectively regarding leadership, advocacy experiences and/or teaching philosophy	EDSP 898: Pre- dissertation Research	Mid: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Year 3	Satisfactory: Student demonstrates competence on the Personal Statement for Leadership and Professional knowledge and other portfolio items related to this category
	Demonstrate the ability to write and communicate the outcomes of research to multiple audiences	EDSP 872 or elective Area courses	Early: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Year 3	Satisfactory: Student demonstrates competence in the writing a synthesis review
		EDSP 899: Doctoral Dissertation Research	Late: Rubric: Dissertation Final Exam Year 4 or 5	Satisfactory: Student defends dissertation research work orally & written and graphics, tables appropriate

Table 6: Comprehensive Portfolio Review Checklist

Date Submitted to Director of Graduate Studies in Special Education:

Dear EDSP Graduate Committee:

I am submitting my Comprehensive Portfolio with my Advisor Approval. I understand that the EDSP Graduate Committee reviews my Portfolio, which includes my CV and three products/evidence of competence.

\sim	ı
U	V

Evaluation of Scholarship and Mastery of Academic Discipline

____ 1. Synthesis Paper:

Title

If this is a co-authored published journal article, or article submitted for publication to a peer-reviewed journal.

- Date Submitted, Name of Journal
- **2.** Choice of one or more from the following (only one is required)
- First or co-authored published journal article, or article submitted for publication to a peer-reviewed journal.
 - □ Date Submitted:
 - Title:
- First or co-authored published chapter, or chapter submitted for publication.
 Chapters should be published in reputable academic publishing houses or university presses.
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:
- First or co-authored policy paper or brief published or submitted for publication.
 - □ Date Submitted
 - Title:
- Pilot Study (Description) with Advisor's Sign-off
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:

Evaluation of Professional Practice

- **3.** Choice of one or more from the following (only one is required)
- Research Internship: Description of agency, grant, or study, my responsibilities, products if applicable, and evaluation of my participation.
 - □ Date
 - Title of Description

- First or co-authored conference presentation or poster presentation. For poster presentations, a short abstract of the poster should be provided
 - □ Date Submitted
 - Title:
- Grant proposal including grant proposal for a research project; proposal to obtain support for one's dissertation work; proposal for personnel preparation grants; proposal for research. Rules for co-authorship are as above for papers or chapters (or faculty member attests to the components completed by the student). The student's advisor determines the appropriateness of the granting agency.
 - □ In Preparation (include due date for proposal)
 - Date Submitted
 - Title:
- Service or leadership experience or Internship: Examples include: Membership and service to a professional organization; service to a departmental, college, or campus committee; service in a community organization; evaluation from internship experience; and professional development activity for organization or school system
 - □ Date
 - Title and Description

Student's Signature	Advisor's Signature

Table 7: EDSP Rubric for Comprehensive Portfolio Review (Written and Oral Presentation)

ח	2	4	Δ	
ப	a	L	ㄷ	_

Candidate Name:

Name of the Examining Committee Members:

Criterion	Exceeds	Meets	Does not Meet
Criteria used to judge the synthesis paper (written) include:			
Has the student clearly described the process for the literature search included in the synthesis?			
Has the student critically synthesized the body of literature that supports the research problem or void in the extant literature?			
Has the student clearly described the purpose of the synthesis for the reader and linked that purpose/need to gaps in the literature?			
Has the student reviewed the appropriate literature and research in enough detail so that the reader can understand what is known about the topic and what gaps/limitations are apparent in the research?			
Has the student developed and clearly stated a research question as a result of the synthesis			
Has the student prepared a manuscript of publishable quality that follows the guidelines for a specific journal in the field?			
the requirements for clarity of expression, quality of work and methodology, and originality are at the level of the journal			

provided above.
Satisfactory (Student has addressed the criteria satisfactorily, comments provided
Revise and Resubmit (Comments and recommendations) provided

In the event the student receives a rating of Unsatisfactory on the paper, it is returned to the student for revisions with specific recommendations from the Graduate Committee. Students are given **one chance to revise and resubmit** the paper within **six weeks** of the first review along with a letter explaining how the recommendations have been followed.

Table 7: EDSP Rubric for Comprehensive Portfolio Review (continued)

Criterion	Exceeds	Meets	Does not Meet
Criteria to Evaluate Oral Exam for Comprehensive			
Portfolio Review			
 Oral presentation of synthesis paper includes: Clear statement of purpose of the research, Clear research questions that allow student to address the purpose of research Summary of major points in the body of literature Summary of gaps in the literature for this topic Summary of ideas for research designs that will contribute meaningful data to the field. Student demonstrates evidence of analytical and integrative thinking in reflecting on the program at the end of coursework, especially with respect to upcoming dissertation work integrity and ethical practice 			
Oral presentation includes brief review of student's goal for program and future employment. Student is able to reflect upon different activities, consider his or her own products and experiences during coursework, reflect on progress to date in program. This can include service, research, and teaching experiences			
Oral presentation includes a brief review of other optional items submitted for the Comprehensive Review Portfolio. Student demonstrates ability to interact with graduate committee regarding descriptions or reasons for including materials from list of optional items included in the Comprehensive Review Portfolio.			

__ Satisfactory: The student has demonstrated readiness to proceed to the dissertation phase of the program. The student is ready to enroll in EDSP 899 Dissertation Research and proceed with his or her plan for research.

____ Unsatisfactory: The student has not demonstrated readiness to proceed to the dissertation phase of the program (specific recommendations for modifications or additional work are provided by the committee). The student has one opportunity to make revisions and schedule a second meeting no later than six months from the date of the first meeting. The student will attend to all required actions taken by the committee and provide a checklist that verifies how these actions have been completed. If the student does not pass the second Comprehensive Portfolio Review, his or her program will be terminated.

Table 8: EDSP Rubric for Dissertation Proposal (Written and Oral Presentation)

Date:

Candidate Name:

Names of the Examining Committee Member:

Criterion	Exceeds	Meets	Does not Meet
Problem Definition: Provides an introductory chapter			
that includes:			
(a) statement of the problem encompasses all three			
papers:			
(b) summary of the most relevant literature reviewed			
in the synthesis (i.e., a systematic and critical review			
of the literature base relevant to the problem			
addressed in the dissertation),			
(c) theoretical framework, and			
(d) overview of the three papers to be presented in			
the dissertation;			
Synthesis: A full draft of the first paper			
Partial drafts of the other papers (i.e., the empirical			
studies, or policy or practitioner paper) that include, at			
a minimum,			
(a) rationale for each study,			
(b) research question(s) for each study,			
(c) proposed methods and analyses for each			
study.			
Presentation: Oral presentation (approximately 15-			
20 minutes) on the purpose, significance of the			
research, methods, and literature reviewed. The			
student's ability to respond to oral questions is key to			
passing the proposal meeting.			
Broader Impact : Demonstrates awareness of broader			
implications of the research; discusses results interms			
of implications for field, research			
Critical Thinking: Demonstrates capacity for			
independent research in the area of study; ability to			
make original contributions to the field			
Quality of Written Communication: Dissertation is			
professionally written; APA style is followed			

Overall Assessment : Overall performance of the candidate based is on the evidence provide above.
Pass
Revise and Resubmit (Comments and Suggestions Attached or in Proposal)
Does Not Pass

Table 9: EDSP Rubric for Final Dissertation Defense (Written and Oral Presentation)

Date:

Candidate Name:

Names of the Examining Committee Members:

Criterion	Exceeds	Meets	Does not Meet
Part 1 – Public presentation by candidate: The			
candidate summarizes main aspects of the research			
reported in the dissertation in a summary of the			
dissertation, emphasizing the important results and			
explaining the reasoning that led to the conclusions reached.			
The audience (if present) is permitted to ask questions			
(Chair of the Dissertation Examining Committee has			
the discretion to decide whether such questions are			
germane to the topic of the dissertation and how much			
time will be allotted for the answers).			
Part 2 – Formal examination of the candidate by			
the Dissertation Examination Committee. The			
chair invites questions in turn from each member of			
the Dissertation Examining Committee. The			
questioning may continue as long as the Dissertation			
Examining Committee feels that it is necessary and			
reasonable for the proper examination of the student.			
The candidate is evaluated regarding his or her ability			
to respond to questions from the Committee about the			
research reported in the dissertation.			
Quality of Written Document Written product			
addresses criteria outlined in proposal, see Table 8 .			
APA style is followed			

Conclusion: The committee has the following options:

- To accept the dissertation without any recommended changes and sign the Report of Examining Committee.
- To accept the dissertation with recommendations for changes and, except for the chair, sign the Report of the Examining Committee. The chair will check that the changes to the dissertation have been made, and, upon his or her approval, sign the Report of Examining Committee.
- To recommend revisions to the dissertation and not sign the Report of Examining Committee until the student has made the changes and submitted the revised dissertation for the Dissertation Examining Committee's approval. The Dissertation Examining Committee members sign the Report of Examining Committee if they approve the revised dissertation.
- To recommend revisions and convene a second meeting of the Dissertation Examining Committee to review the dissertation and complete the student's examination.
- To rule the dissertation (including its examination) unsatisfactory. In that circumstance, the student fails. Following the examination, the chair, in the presence of the Dean's Representative, must inform the student of the outcome of the examination. The chair and the Dean's Representative both sign a Report of the Examining Committee indicating which of the above alternatives has been adopted. A copy of this statement is to be included in the student's file at the graduate program office, and a copy is given to the student.

Table 10: Internship Guidelines

Requirements:

- Internships are **required** for all full-time students, and we expect everyone to make their best attempt to complete this step in the program. Funding is available to support this effort.
- Internships are **not required** for part-time students, but we encourage it, and funding is also available to them (see below for details)
- If a student feels there is a legitimate need to waive this requirement, the student will need to write a letter of appeal, have it signed by their advisor, and voted on by the graduate committee. This should happen before the student expects to do their portfolio review.

Funding:

- We encourage students to apply for grad school summer funding for internships but if that is not available, or students are not eligible, they can apply for back up EDSP funds. We can grant four students \$5,000 for an internship that lasts approximately 6-8 weeks with at least 3 days per week of work (or equivalent of 60 hours minimum) during the summer.
- If the internship is not available during the summer a student has the option to do an internship during a semester, but in this case the internship must be the equivalent of a course and students can take up to 3 elective credits for the experience. It should be the equivalent in terms of time as the summer internship experience listed above.

Timing:

Timing: Internships can be completed during the students' program at any time, but we
encourage students to schedule it during or after the student's first or second year. We expect
the internship to be completed before the student's portfolio review. Completing the internship
prior to the portfolio review gives experiences to the student that can inform future career
goals as well as access to resources (e.g., data collection sites). Students can also further
their learning about interventions, for example, that could inform their future work.

Logistics:

• Arranging an internship can happen in many ways. The student should work with their advisor and Dr. Jade Wexler as the main Internship point of contact to arrange the internship, the hours the student will engage in it, whether they are taking it for credit, or getting it funded during the summer. Advisors should start planning for the internship at least a full semester before the experience. Many internship sites require at least one year of preparation and planning as well as a security background check prior to beginning internship (e.g., OSEP) and others are contingent on project matching with student needs Bottom line, this can be fluid and will take time. Note that if Jade cannot help with contacts for the internship, she will reach out to other faculty members.

Evaluation

- Prior to the internship, the intern and their supervisor will complete a statement of duties for
 the internship experience that is reviewed and signed by the intern and their supervisor. This
 document will be shared with all parties, including the advisor.
- During the internship, there will be two **progress checks**, at approximately two-weeks and four weeks for a six-week internship. The internship supervisor can complete these with the intern and the completed project checks will be sent to the advisor.

• After the internship is complete the student will write a paper, which will be reviewed by their advisor. Students will also prepare a brief presentation about their experiences to share at an EDSP grad student symposium (or equivalent).

University of Maryland Statement of Mutual Expectations for Graduate Teaching Assistants (GTAs)

This GTA checklist is intended to improve communication between instructors and GTAs concerning roles, responsibilities, and expectations. Please review this checklist with each GTA at the beginning of each semester and check all items that apply.

Name of Instructor:
Instructor email address:
Graduate teaching assistant:
GTA email address:
Course:
Semester/year:
Start Date:
End Date:
This is a:
□ 10 hour a week GTA appointment
☐ 20 hour a week GTA appointment
□ other (please specify):
This form is being completed by (please check one):
☐ The instructor only
☐ The GTA only
☐ The instructor and GTA together

Expectations regarding professionalism (check all that apply)

- o Attend all regularly scheduled classes
- o Arrive on time to class and meetings
- o Respond promptly to emails from students and instructor
- Meet deadlines for grading and other responsibilities
- Maintain student confidentiality
- o Complete UMD's 10-minute FERPA tutorial at http://www.sis.umd.edu/ferpa
- Demonstrate knowledge of university honor code policies, professional ethics, and other course related policies. The new student-friendly website explaining course related policies is available here: http://www.ugst.umd.edu/courserelatedpolicies.html
- o Maintain positive professional relationships with students, other GTAs/undergraduate teaching assistants (UTAs), and instructor
- Maintain engagement with classroom activities (e.g., avoiding distractions such as texting and social media)
- Ask questions when unsure of responsibilities or course content
- Demonstrate knowledge of student support services including the UMD Counseling center, writing center, and Accessibility and Disability Service (ADS) support
- o Communicate with instructor in a timely manner if an illness or other unavoidable absence conflicts with your GTA duties
- Other duties (please describe in space below)

Expectations regarding teaching and mentoring activities (check all that apply)

- o Teach recitation/discussion/laboratory sections of course
- o Attend, manage, and teach all recitation/discussion/laboratory sections as needed
- o Prepare recitation/discussion/laboratory material with instructor input

- o Coordinate recitation/discussion/laboratory with lectures, with instructor input
- Arrange with instructor for date and time to hold office hours. If unable to hold office hours on the prearranged date and time, immediately notify instructor to arrange for alternate accommodations for the students
- Assist with identifying underperforming students and communicate with instructors regarding these students
- o Hold extra help or review sessions for students, as appropriate
- o Provide corrective feedback to students in a positive and constructive manner
- o Provide clear explanations of course material to students
- o Supervise, train, and manage undergraduate teaching assistants in coordination with the instructor
- o Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of course content
- O Stay informed and ahead of the course schedule to anticipate and plan for upcoming assignments, exams, deadlines, etc.)
- Help students stay informed and ahead of the course schedule to anticipate and plan for upcoming assignments, exams, deadlines, etc.)
- Provide guest lectures
- o Grade assignments and provide solutions in a timely manner
- o Be available to assist with grading exams when needed
- Distribute laboratory material to students
- Other (please specify)

Expectations of GTA for supporting instructor (check all that apply)

- o Manage ELMS or other course websites
- o Learn to use technology or equipment used in the course
- Assist in preparation of classroom activities (class demonstrations, videos, laboratory assignments, etc.)
- o Prepare and/or provide quiz questions and/or solutions
- Prepare and/or provide exam questions and/or solutions
- o Maintain the grade book
- o Photocopy exams and other materials in advance of class
- o Coordinate ADS exams and assist with ADS accommodations
- o Set up power point slides, lab equipment, or other material before class
- Other (please specify)

Expectations of instructor mentorship of the GTA (check all that apply)

- o Review syllabus with TA prior to semester
- o Provide clear directions in grading exams and assignments
- Mentor TA regarding teaching pedagogy
- Assist TA in developing material for guest lectures
- o Provide ample advanced notice of scheduled assignments or changes to assignments
- o Communicate with TA on a regular basis to discuss class
- Review UMD policies with TA
- Provide feedback to student and potential assessment of student in regard to their performance as a TA
- o Mentor GTA on how to address student questions regarding exams and assignments, including grading rubrics and handling student complaints about grading
- Other (please specify in space below)